Johnathon

Voter ID Differences

- SEC 1 Disability Definition House better, but . . .
- SEC 5 Voter ID identification target at low-income voters (OAG dislikes)
- SEC 9 Hochberg amendment . Governor veto of HB 1457
- SEC 9 Davis amendment Provisional ballot counted . . . SOS says hard to administrate
- SEC 9 Over 70 as of 1-1-12 exemption (Two class of voters?)

SEC 9 - Disability exemption (Two class of voters? If you can make it to polls, why can't you make it to DPS?)

SEC 9 - Eiland amendment - natural disasters too broad . . . who leaves without id?

SEC 9 - Giddings amendment - stolen identity does NOT mean stolen id

- SEC 14 Tribal ID too broad and easy to be faked
- SEC 14- ID approved by state too broad and easy to be faked
- SEC 15 Gonzalez amendment GUTS photo-id at the polling place
- SEC 17 6 Day option to cast provisional ballot
 - Senate exemption for indigent (is it necessary?) / religious (OAG likes)
 House bring photo-id back (Senate filed language)
- TSEC 18 Tied to decision in SEC 17
- SEC __ Lucio amendment One day temporary ID -- too expensive / easy to fake
- SEC __ Anchia amendment Not necessary / too expensive
- SEC __ Bonnen amendment Severability (OAG likes)

About More Restrictive Bill

What are you trying to accomplish with this bill?

This bill is about restoring integrity in our election process and returning public confidence that their legitimate vote counts. It will help deter and detect in-person voter fraud, and it will modernize our election process.

Why is this bill different from the bills last session?

We have had two additional years to see Photo ID working in other states and two additional years to hear continued public concern on the integrity of the ballot box. Only a true photo ID bill can deter and detect fraud at the polls and can protect the public's confidence in elections. Plus, I believe that Photo ID is simpler and less confusing for the voters.

Why doesn't this bill phase-in over two election cycles?

The bill would not take effect until January 2012 (the first election would one March 2012), and the bill requires education efforts by the counties and the Secretary of State. Also, over 80 percent of voters support this change in law. That tells me that voters do not need a phase-in in order to be compliant with the law. Plus, we do allow for a provisional ballot to be cast and counted so voters have two opportunities to get their ballot cast.

More restrictive different bill?

2 additional years to see Photo ID working in other states and 2 additional years to hear from my constituents that a photo voter ID bill is something they expect this legislative body to pass.

Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 665-23 Filed on 11/11/14 in TXSD Page 3 of 11



FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.

BY: Eland

- 1 Amend C.S.S.B. No. 14 (house committee printing) as
- 2 follows:
- 3 (1) In SECTION 9 of the bill, in added Section 63.001(h),
- 4 Election Code (page 6, line 20), strike "or".
- 5 (2) In SECTION 9 of the bill, following added Section
- 6 63.001(h)(2), Election Code (page 6, line 23), between
- 7 "15.001(c)" and the period, insert the following:
- 8 ; or
- 9 (3) executes an affidavit under penalty of perjury
- 10 that asserts the voter does not have identification meeting the
- 11 requirements of Subsection (b) as a result of a natural disaster
- 12 declared by the president of the United States or the governor

Barbarana



FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.____

- Amend C.S.S.B. No. 14 by adding the following appropriately
- 2 numbered section and by renumbering the existing sections as
- 3 appropriate:
- 4 SECTION __. Section 521.424, Transportation Code, is
- 5 amended to read as follows:
- 6 Sec. 521.424. DUPLICATE LICENSE OR CERTIFICATE FEE. (a)
- 7 Except as provided by Subsection (b), the [The] fee for a
- 8 duplicate driver's license or duplicate personal identification
- 9 certificate is \$10.
- 10 (b) The department may not collect a fee for a duplicate
- 11 personal identification certificate from a person who meets the
- 12 requirements of Section 521.422(d).

Case 2:13-cv-00193 | Document 665-23 | Filed on 11/11/14 in TXSD | Page 6 of 11

DPS - FAU - Anchia

Colby Beuck

From:

Trumble, Rhonda [Rhonda.Trumble@txdps.state.tx.us]

Sent:

Thursday, March 24, 2011 11:27 AM

To: Cc:

Colby Beuck

Cubine

Davio, Rebecca; Arriaga, Amanda

Subject:

FW: SB 14 Question

Colby,

Below is the information Assistant Director Rebecca Davio asked me to forward to you. As I told you on the phone, if you need anything further, just let us know.

Thank you,

Rhonda S. Trumble Assistant Chief Office of Government Relations Texas Department of Public Safety (512) 424-2037

From: Riemenschneider, Brian

Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2011 09:29 AM

To: Davio, Rebecca Subject: RE: SB 14

Although the fee provision in SB 14 (TRC § 521.422) that allows for a free ID for voting purposes is different than the statute for duplicate transactions (TRC § 521.424), the provision in SB 14 is specific enough to require the Department to not charge a fee for a duplicate ID in which the applicant "states that the person is obtaining the [ID] for the purpose of satisfying Section 63.001(b), Election Code" and registers to vote again under the new address. (See Gov't Code § 311.026).

Brian J. Riemenschneider Assistant General Counsel Texas Department of Public Safety (512) 424-7679

From: Davio, Rebecca

Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2011 7:39 PM **To:** Adkins, Phillip; Riemenschneider, Brian

Cc: Arriaga, Amanda; DPS Government Relations; Terry, Michael; Smith, Janie

Subject: SB 14

Rep. Harless called me tonight and asked a question about an amendment that they are adding to Voter ID.

The question is "If someone needs a duplicate ID because they have moved or changed their name, do they still get a free ID, if they don't have any other form of acceptable identification?"

I told them I would need to get some legal feedback before I could answer definitively.

Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 665-23 Filed on 11/11/14 in TXSD Plage 7 of 11

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO)	•
--------------------	---	---

BY: Hacifera

- 1 Amend C.S.S.B. No. 14 on page 5, line 14, by inserting
- 2 "under standards adopted by the secretary of state" between
- 3 "list" and the comma.





FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.____

BY: Ybour

1 Amend C.S.S.B. No. 14 (House committee printing) by

2 striking lines 12 through 14 of page 6 and substituting the

following:

(D) includes notice that if all procedures are

followed and the voter is found to be eligible to vote in the

election, the voter's provisional ballot will be counted.

Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 665-23 Filed on 11/11/14 in TXSD Page 10 of 11 FA32 - Dukes



FLOOR AMENDMENT NO	BY: 1 DUKE
--------------------	------------

- 1 Amend C.S.S.B 14 on page 13, line 27, by striking "may" and
- 2 substituting "shall".



FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.

Amend C.S.S.B. No. 14 (house committee printing) by adding 1 2 the following appropriately numbered SECTION to the bill and renumbering the remaining SECTIONS of the bill accordingly: 3 SECTION ____. Every provision in this Act and every

4 5 application of the provisions in this Act are severable from each other. If any application of any provision in this Act to any 6 person or group of persons or circumstances is found by a court to be invalid, the remainder of this Act and the application of the Act's provisions to all other persons and circumstances may not be 10 affected. All constitutionally valid applications of this Act 11 shall be severed from any applications that a court finds to be invalid, leaving the valid applications in force, because it is the 12 13 legislature's intent and priority that the valid applications be 14 allowed to stand alone. Even if a reviewing court finds a provision

15 of this Act invalid in a large or substantial fraction of relevant cases, the remaining valid applications shall be severed and

17 allowed to remain in force.

16